

REMARKS

The Examiner has objected to Figs. 1-23 and Applicant provides replacement sheets for each of the figs. to overcome the objection.

The Examiner has commented that the substitute submitted on January 18, 2005 has not been entered because it failed to contain a statement that no new matter was contained therein. Applicant asserts that no new matter is contained in the substitute specification submitted on January 18, 2005 and Applicant request entry thereof.

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph because the claim depends from any of claims 1-4 and the Examiner asserts that the claim should depend from either Claim 5 or Claim 6. Applicant has amended the claim to depend from Claim 5 and introduced new Claim 9 containing the limitations of Claim 8 and depending from Claim 6 to overcome the rejection.

The Examiner has determined that each of the claims would be allowed if Claim 8 were amended to overcome the stated rejection. As the rejection has been overcome, a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

The USPTO is hereby authorized to charge any fee(s) or fee(s) deficiency or credit any excess payment to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

Respectfully submitted,
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By



C. Bruce Hamburg
Reg. No. 22,389
Attorney for Applicants

and,

By



T. David Bomzer
Reg. No. 48,770
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP
122 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10168
(212) 986-2340

F-8471

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

Please find accompanying this response replacement sheets for Figs. 1-23
wherein amendments explained in the Remarks presented below are effected.